United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/667,877	09/22/2003	David Boyd Melvin	MELV / 27US	1155	
	7590 03/23/2007 ON & EVANS, LLP		EXAMINER		
2700 CAREW	TOWER	· .	ISABELLA, DAVID J		
441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3738		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/23/2007	PAF	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/667,877	MELVIN, DAVID BOYD			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		DAVID J. ISABELLA	3738			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Oc	ctoher 2006				
_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	·					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	,,				
·						
	I) Claim(s) 9-24 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 12,14,15,21,23 and 24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>9-11,13,16,17,18,19,20,22</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ceu.				
′=	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	coloction requirement				
. —		election requirement.				
	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔀 Interview Summary ((PTO_413)			
3) 🔲 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Supplemental Office Action

Pursuant to telephone call from applicant's representative, examiner is providing applicant with a correct and full response to applicant's traversal of the outstanding restriction mailed 8/18/2006. No changes were made to the rejections to the claims as presented in the previous Office action.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-8,12,14,15,21-24 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention/speices, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **with** traverse in the reply filed on 10/19/2006.

Applicant's election with traverse of the claims in the reply filed on 10/17/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the two species (i.e., a dome with or without flared extensions) would likely encompass a search for both and resources would be sufficient to address all issues in one case is not convincing. The two species are patentably distinct. If applicant believes that the two species are not patentably distinct, then applicant is invited to state on the record that the species are not distinct and equivalent. The basis for restrictions between species does not require separate search but does require the species to be patentably distinct. Thus the examiner has met the requirement for the basis of a restriction between species.

With respect to Group 2, attachment or securing means as illustrated in figures in each of figure 4a;4b;5a;5b;5c are clearly distinct from one another. Whether or not

Art Unit: 3738

the claims specifically recite any one or all of the embodiments are not required for requiring a restriction to the same. The purpose of the species restriction, for the purpose of prosecution, is to reduce the burden to the office in the case that applicant presents claims to all species in various scope. Accordingly, applicant is invited to state for the record that the above embodiments are not distinct and equivalent, if applicant believes that the examiner is in error.

With respect to Group 3, again applicant is invited to state for the record that the above embodiments are not distinct and equivalent, if applicant believes that the examiner is in error.

The argument that the searching for Groups 1-3 would not be unduly burdensome for the present Examiner is not the basis by which a restriction between species is invoked by the Office. Nonetheless, additional searching would be required as well as the review an analysis of more clams would provide a substantial burden to the Office. Applicant's argument, that the previous Examiner did not require a restriction, is moot.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9-11, 13,16-20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hellman et al [5558617].

Hellman et al discloses a heart-mounted structure for assisting the operation of a natural heart comprising: an assistive heart-actuating dome structure that assists actuation of the heart, the dome structure configured for being coupled with a surface of a ventricular portion of the heart, the dome structure having at least one opening formed therein; and the dome structure, proximate the opening, being configured to interface with at least one of a chamber and a great vessel of the heart. Though the device of Hellman et al is not shown to be places on the basal surface of the heart, the device is capable of being placed at the basal surface of the heart. The structure as broadly claimed by applicant is fully recognized by the same as illustrated in Hellman et al.

Claims 10 and 11, Hellman et alutilizes flexible envelope and rigid C-shaped stays as sub-elements of the structure.

Claim 13, there are two openings forming the truncated dome of Hellman et al.

Claims 16-20, see pressure pads 130 of Hellman, et al.

Claim 22, see separated segments in figure 14A.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J. ISABELLA whose telephone number is 571-272-4749. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone Art Unit: 3738

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> DAVID ISABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738

DJI 3/17/2007